

# GEOMETRIC FLOW ON COMPACT LOCALLY CONFORMALLY KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

YOSHINOBU KAMISHIMA AND LIVIU ORNEA

**ABSTRACT.** We study two kinds of transformation groups of a compact locally conformally Kähler (l.c.K.) manifold. First we study compact l.c.K. manifolds by means of the existence of holomorphic l.c.K. flow (*i.e.*, a conformal, holomorphic flow with respect to the Hermitian metric.) We characterize the structure of the compact l.c.K. manifolds with parallel Lee form. Next, we introduce the Lee-Cauchy-Riemann (LCR) transformations as a class of diffeomorphisms preserving the specific  $G$ -structure of l.c.K. manifolds. We show that compact l.c.K. manifolds with parallel Lee form admitting a  $\mathbb{C}^*$  flow of LCR transformations are rigid: it is holomorphically isometric to a Hopf manifold with parallel Lee form.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M, g, J)$  be a connected, complex Hermitian manifold of complex dimension  $n \geq 2$ . We denote its fundamental 2-form by  $\omega$ ; it is defined by  $\omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$ . If there exists a real 1-form  $\theta$  satisfying the integrability condition

$$d\omega = \theta \wedge \omega \quad \text{with } d\theta = 0$$

then  $g$  is said to be a *locally conformally Kähler* (l.c.K.) metric. A complex manifold  $M$  endowed with a l.c.K. metric is called a l.c.K. manifold. The conformal class of a l.c.K. metric  $g$  is said to be a l.c.K. structure on  $M$ . The closed 1-form  $\theta$  is called *the Lee form* and it encodes the geometric properties of such a manifold. The vector field  $\theta^\sharp$ , defined by  $\theta(X) = g(X, \theta^\sharp)$ , is called the Lee field.

The purpose of this paper is to study two kinds of transformation groups of a l.c.K. manifold  $(M, g, J)$ . We first consider  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$ , the group of all conformal, holomorphic diffeomorphisms. We discuss its properties in §2. A holomorphic vector field  $Z$  on  $(M, g, J)$  generates a 1-dimensional complex Lie group  $\mathcal{C}$ . (The universal covering group of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ .) We call  $\mathcal{C}$  a holomorphic flow on  $M$ .

---

*Date:* February 1, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 57S25.

*Key words and phrases.* Locally conformally Kähler manifold, Lee form, contact structure, strongly pseudoconvex CR-structure,  $G$ -structure, holomorphic complex torus action, transformation groups.

The second author is a member of EDGE, Research Training Network HPRN-CT-2000-00101, supported by The European Human Potential Programme.

**Definition 1.1.** If a holomorphic flow  $\mathcal{C}$  (resp. holomorphic vector field  $Z$ ) belongs to  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  (resp. Lie algebra of  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$ ), then  $\mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $Z$ ) is said to be a *holomorphic l.c.K. flow* (resp. *holomorphic l.c.K. vector field*).

A nontrivial subclass of l.c.K. manifolds is formed by those  $(M, g, J)$  having parallel Lee form w.r.t. the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^g$  (i.e.  $\nabla^g \theta = 0$ ). We observe that a compact non-Kähler l.c.K. manifold  $(M, g, J)$  with parallel Lee form  $\theta$  supports a holomorphic vector field  $Z = \theta^\sharp - iJ\theta^\sharp$  which generates holomorphic isometries of  $g$ . (Compare [17],[18],[5].) We shall prove that the converse is also true:

**Theorem A.** *Let  $(M, g, J)$  be a compact, connected, l.c.K. non-Kähler manifold, of complex dimension at least 2. If  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  contains a holomorphic l.c.K. flow, then there exists a metric with parallel Lee form in the conformal class of  $g$ .*

**Corollary A<sub>1</sub>.** *With the same hypothesis,  $M$  admits a l.c.K. metric with parallel Lee form if and only if it admits a holomorphic l.c.K. flow.*

In §3, we discuss the existence of l.c.K. metrics with parallel Lee form on the Hopf manifold. (Compare with [6]). Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with the  $\lambda_i$ 's complex numbers satisfying  $0 < |\lambda_n| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_1| < 1$ . By a *primary Hopf manifold*  $M_\Lambda$  of type  $\Lambda$  we mean the compact quotient manifold of  $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$  by a subgroup  $\Gamma_\Lambda$  generated by the transformation  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (\lambda_1 z_1, \dots, \lambda_n z_n)$ . Note that a primary Hopf manifold of type  $\Lambda$  of complex dimension 2 is a primary Hopf surface of Kähler rank 1. We prove the following:

**Theorem B.** *The primary Hopf manifold  $M_\Lambda$  of type  $\Lambda$  supports a l.c.K. metric with parallel Lee form.*

More generally, we prove the existence of a l.c.K. metric with parallel Lee form on the Hopf manifold (cf. Theorem 3.1).

In the second half of the paper we adopt the viewpoint of  $G$ -structure theory in order to study a non-compact, non-holomorphic, transformation group of a compact l.c.K. manifold  $(M, g, J)$ . Locally, the 2-form  $\omega$  defines the real 1-forms  $\theta, \theta \circ J$  and  $(n-1)$  complex 1-forms  $\theta^\alpha$  and their conjugates  $\bar{\theta}^\alpha$ , where  $\theta \circ J$  is called the *anti-Lee form* and is defined by  $\theta \circ J(X) = \theta(JX)$ . We consider the group  $\text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$  of transformations of  $M$  preserving the structure of unitary coframe fields  $\mathcal{F} = \{\theta, \theta \circ J, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{n-1}, \bar{\theta}^1, \dots, \bar{\theta}^{n-1}\}$ . More precisely, an element  $f$  of  $\text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$  is called a *Lee-Cauchy-Riemann* (LCR) transformation if it satisfies the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \theta &= \theta, \\ f^*(\theta \circ J) &= \lambda \cdot (\theta \circ J), \\ f^* \theta^\alpha &= \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \theta^\beta U_\beta^\alpha + (\theta \circ J) \cdot v^\alpha, \\ f^* \bar{\theta}^\alpha &= \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \bar{\theta}^\beta \bar{U}_\beta^\alpha + (\theta \circ J) \cdot \bar{v}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\lambda$  is a positive, smooth function, and  $v^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U_\beta^\alpha \in \text{U}(n-1)$  are smooth functions. Obviously, if  $\text{I}(M, g, J)$  is the group of holomorphic isometries, then both  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  and  $\text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$  contain  $\text{I}(M, g, J)$ .

As the main result of this part we exhibit the rigidity of compact l.c.K. manifolds under the existence of a non-compact LCR flow:

**Theorem C.** *Let  $(M, g, J)$  be a compact, connected, l.c.K. non-Kähler manifold of complex dimension at least 2, with parallel Lee form  $\theta$ . Suppose that  $M$  admits a closed subgroup  $\mathbb{C}^* = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  of Lee-Cauchy-Riemann transformations whose  $S^1$  subgroup induces the Lee field  $\theta^\sharp$ . Then  $M$  is holomorphically isometric, up to scalar multiple of the metric, to the primary Hopf manifold  $M_\Lambda$  of type  $\Lambda$ .*

## 2. LOCALLY CONFORMALLY KÄHLER TRANSFORMATIONS

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(M, g, J)$  be a compact l.c.K. manifold with  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 2$ . Then  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  is a compact Lie group.*

*Proof.* Note that  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  is a closed Lie subgroup in the group of all conformal diffeomorphisms of  $(M, g)$ . If  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  were noncompact, then by the celebrated result of Obata and Lelong-Ferrand ([14], [13]),  $(M, g)$  would be conformally equivalent with the sphere  $S^{2n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $M$  would be simply connected. It is well known that a compact simply connected l.c.K. manifold is conformal to a Kähler manifold (cf. [5]), which is impossible because the sphere  $S^{2n}$  has no Kähler structure. □

From now on, we shall suppose that the l.c.K. manifolds we work with are compact, non-Kähler and, moreover, the Lee form is not identically zero at any point of the manifold. In particular, these manifolds are not simply connected (cf. [5]). Given a l.c.K. manifold  $(M, g, J)$ , let  $\tilde{M}$  be the universal covering space of  $M$ , let  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  be the canonical projection and denote also by  $J$  the lifted complex structure on  $\tilde{M}$ . We can associate to the fundamental 2-form  $\omega$  a canonical Kähler form on  $\tilde{M}$  as follows. Since the lee form  $\theta$  is closed, its lift to  $\tilde{M}$  is exact, hence  $p^*\theta = d\tau$  for some smooth function  $\tau$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . We put  $h = e^{-\tau} \cdot p^*g$  (resp.  $\Omega = e^{-\tau} \cdot p^*\omega$ ). It is easy to check that  $d\Omega = 0$ , thus  $h$  is a Kähler metric on  $(\tilde{M}, J)$ . In particular  $g$  is locally conformal to the Kähler metric  $h$  (compare with [5] and the bibliography therein). Let  $f \in \text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$ . By definition,  $f^*\omega = e^\lambda \cdot \omega$  for some function  $\lambda$  on  $M$ . Differentiate this equality to yield that  $(f^*\theta - \theta + d\lambda) \wedge \omega = 0$ . As  $\omega$  is nondegenerate and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M > 1$ ,  $f^*\theta = \theta + d\lambda$ . Since  $p^*\theta = d\tau$ , for any lift  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  to  $\tilde{M}$  we have  $d\tilde{f}^*\tau = d(\tau + p^*\lambda)$ , thus  $-\tilde{f}^*\tau + p^*\lambda = -\tau + c$  for some constant  $c$ . We can write  $\tilde{f}^*\Omega = e^c \cdot \Omega$ . If  $c \neq 0$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  is a holomorphic homothety w.r.t.  $h$ ; when  $c = 0$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  will be an isometry.

We denote by  $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{M}, \Omega, J)$  the group of all holomorphic, homothetic transformations of the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  w.r.t. the Kähler structure  $(h, J)$ . If  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}(\tilde{M}, \Omega, J)$ , there exists some constant  $\rho(f_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) satisfying  $f_i^*\Omega = \rho(f_i) \cdot \Omega$  as above. It is easy to check that  $\rho(f_1 \circ f_2) = \rho(f_1) \cdot \rho(f_2)$ . We obtain a continuous homomorphism:

$$(2.1) \quad \rho : \mathcal{H}(\tilde{M}, \Omega, J) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Let  $\pi_1(M)$  be the fundamental group of  $M$ . Then we note that  $\pi_1(M) \subset \mathcal{H}(\tilde{M}, \Omega, J)$ . For this, if  $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$ , then  $\gamma^* \Omega = e^{-\gamma^* \tau} \cdot \gamma^* p^* \omega = e^{-\gamma^* \tau} \cdot p^* \omega = e^{-\gamma^* \tau + \tau} \cdot \Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is a Kähler form ( $n \geq 2$ ),  $e^{-\gamma^* \tau + \tau}$  must be constant  $\rho(\gamma)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a holomorphic l.c.K. flow on  $M$ . If we denote  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  a lift of  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\tilde{M}$ , then  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subset \mathcal{H}(\tilde{M}, \Omega, J)$ . If  $V$  is a vector field which generates a one-parameter subgroup of  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ , then so does  $JV$  such as  $V$  and  $JV$  together generate  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . We define a smooth function  $s : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be  $s(x) = \Omega(JV_x, V_x)$ . Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  centralizes each element  $\gamma$  of  $\pi_1(M)$ , it follows that  $s(\gamma x) = \Omega(JV_{\gamma x}, V_{\gamma x}) = \Omega(\gamma_* JV_x, \gamma_* V_x) = \rho(\gamma)s(x)$ . If every element  $\gamma$  satisfies that  $\rho(\gamma) = 1$ , i.e.,  $\gamma^* \Omega = \Omega$ , then  $\pi_1(M)$  acts as holomorphic isometries of  $h$  so that  $\Omega$  would induce a Kähler structure on  $M$ . By our hypothesis, this does not occur. There exists at least one element  $\gamma$  such that  $\rho(\gamma) \neq 1$ . In particular, we note that:

(2.2) The function  $s$  is not constant on  $\tilde{M}$ .

On the other hand, we prove the following lemma. (The proof of the lemma is almost same as that of [9].)

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \mathbb{R}^+$ , i.e., the group  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  acts by holomorphic, non-trivial homotheties w.r.t. the Kähler metric  $h$  on  $\tilde{M}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}) = \{1\}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  leaves  $\Omega$  invariant. As  $\{V, JV\}$  generates  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{L}_V \Omega = \mathcal{L}_{JV} \Omega = 0$ . In particular,  $Vs = (JV)s = 0$ . For any distribution  $D$  on  $\tilde{M}$ , denote by  $D^\perp$  the orthogonal complement to  $D$  w.r.t. the metric  $h$  where  $h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \Omega(J\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ . Since  $0 = (\mathcal{L}_V \Omega)(JV, \tilde{X}) = V\Omega(JV, \tilde{X}) - \Omega([V, JV], \tilde{X}) - \Omega(JV, [V, \tilde{X}])$ , if  $\tilde{X} \in \{V, JV\}^\perp$ , then  $\Omega(JV, [V, \tilde{X}]) = 0$ , similarly  $\Omega(V, [JV, \tilde{X}]) = 0$ . The equality

$$0 = 3d\Omega(\tilde{X}, V, JV) = \tilde{X}\Omega(V, JV) - V\Omega(\tilde{X}, JV) + JV\Omega(\tilde{X}, V) \\ - \Omega([\tilde{X}, V], JV) - \Omega([V, JV], \tilde{X}) - \Omega([JV, \tilde{X}], V)$$

implies that  $\tilde{X}\Omega(V, JV) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{X}s = 0$  for any  $\tilde{X} \in \{V, JV\}^\perp$ . Therefore,  $s$  becomes constant, being a contradiction to (2.2).  $\square$

**2.1. The submanifold  $W$  and its pseudo-Hermitian structure.** As  $\text{Ker } \rho$  has one dimension, denote by  $-J\xi$  the vector field whose one-parameter subgroup  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  acts as holomorphic isometries on  $\tilde{M}$ .

$$(2.3) \quad \psi_t^* \Omega = \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $-J\xi$  and  $\xi$  together generate the group  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ , the 1-parameter subgroup  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  generated by  $\xi$  acts as nontrivial holomorphic homotheties w.r.t.  $\Omega$  by Lemma 2.1. In particular, the group  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\varphi_t^* \Omega = \rho(\varphi_t) \cdot \Omega$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\rho(\varphi_t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ) from (2.1) and  $\rho$  is a continuous homomorphism,  $\rho(\varphi_t) = e^{at}$  for some constant  $a \neq 0$ . We may normalize  $a = 1$  so that:

$$(2.4) \quad \varphi_t^* \Omega = e^t \cdot \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *The group  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  acts properly and hence freely on  $\tilde{M}$ . In particular,  $\xi \neq 0$  everywhere on  $\tilde{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{C}$  lies in  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  by definition. As  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  is a compact Lie group, its closure  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  in  $\text{Aut}_{l.c.K.}(M)$  is also compact and so isomorphic to a  $k$ -torus ( $k \geq 2$ ). Therefore, the lift  $H$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $\tilde{M}$  acts properly on  $\tilde{M}$ . The lift  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^\ell \times T^m$  where  $\ell + m = k$ . Note that  $\ell \geq 1$  because  $\rho$  maps any compact subgroup of  $H$  to  $\{1\}$ , but the group  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset H$  satisfies  $\rho(\{\varphi_t\}) = \mathbb{R}^+$ . Hence the group  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  has a nontrivial summand in  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$  which implies that  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is closed in  $H$ . Thus, the group  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  acts properly on  $\tilde{M}$ . If we note that  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ , then it acts freely on  $\tilde{M}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $s : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the smooth map defined as  $s(x) = \Omega(J\xi_x, \xi_x)$ . Then 1 is a regular value of  $s$ , hence  $s^{-1}(1)$  is a codimension one, regular submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\varphi_t$  is holomorphic,  $s(\varphi_t x) = \Omega(J\xi_{\varphi_t x}, \xi_{\varphi_t x}) = \Omega(\varphi_{t*} J\xi_x, \varphi_{t*} \xi_x) = e^t \cdot s(x)$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi s = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi_t^* s - s}{t} = s.$$

We note also that

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi \Omega = \Omega.$$

By Lemma 2.2, notice that  $\xi \neq 0$  everywhere on  $\tilde{M}$ . Since  $s(x) \neq 0$ ,  $s^{-1}(1) \neq \emptyset$ . For  $x \in s^{-1}(1)$ ,  $ds(\xi_x) = (\mathcal{L}_\xi s)(x) = s(x) = 1$ . This proves that  $ds : T_x \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is onto and so  $s^{-1}(1)$  is a codimension one smooth regular submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$ .  $\square$

Let now  $W = s^{-1}(1)$ . We can prove:

**Lemma 2.3.** *The submanifold  $W$  is connected and the map  $H : \mathbb{R} \times W \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ , defined by  $H(t, w) = \varphi_t w$  is an equivariant diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $W_0$  be a component of  $s^{-1}(1)$  and  $\mathbb{R} \cdot W_0$  be the set  $\{\varphi_t w ; w \in W_0, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . As  $\mathbb{R} = \{\varphi_t\}$  acts freely and  $s(\varphi_t x) = e^t s(x)$ , we have  $\varphi_t W_0 \cap W_0 = \emptyset$  for  $t \neq 0$ . Thus  $\mathbb{R} \cdot W_0$  is an open subset of  $\tilde{M}$ . We prove that it is also closed. Let  $\overline{\mathbb{R} \cdot W_0}$  be the closure of  $\mathbb{R} \cdot W_0$  in  $\tilde{M}$ . We choose a limit point  $p = \lim \varphi_{t_i} w_i \in \overline{\mathbb{R} \cdot W_0}$ . Then  $s(p) = \lim s(\varphi_{t_i} w_i) = \lim e^{t_i} s(w_i) = \lim e^{t_i}$ . Put  $t = \log s(p)$ , then  $t = \lim t_i$ , so  $\varphi_t^{-1}(p) = \lim \varphi_{t_i}^{-1}(\lim \varphi_{t_i} w_i) = \lim w_i$ . Since  $s^{-1}(1)$  is regular (i.e. closed w.r.t. the relative topology induced from  $\tilde{M}$ ), its component  $W_0$  is also closed. Hence  $\varphi_t^{-1} p \in W_0$ . Therefore  $p = \varphi_t(\varphi_t^{-1} p) \in \mathbb{R} \cdot W_0$ , proving that  $\mathbb{R} \cdot W_0$  is closed in  $\tilde{M}$ . In conclusion,  $\mathbb{R} \cdot W_0 = \tilde{M}$ . Now, if  $W_1$  is another component of  $s^{-1}(1)$ , the same argument shows  $\mathbb{R} \cdot W_1 = \tilde{M}$ . As  $\mathbb{R} \cdot W_0 = \mathbb{R} \cdot W_1$  and  $s(W_1) = 1$ , this implies  $W_0 = W_1$ , in other words  $W$  is connected.  $\square$

Let  $i : W \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  be the inclusion and  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow W$  be the canonical projection. Define a 1-form  $\eta$  on  $W$  to be

$$(2.6) \quad \eta = i^* \iota_\xi \Omega.$$

Here  $\iota_\xi$  denotes the interior product with  $\xi$ . We have from § 2.1 that:

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{d\psi_t}{dt}(x)|_{t=0} = -J\xi_x.$$

Using (2.3),  $s(\psi_t w) = s(w) = 1$  ( $w \in W$ ) so that the group  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  leaves  $W$  invariant. Hence, the vector field  $-J\xi$  restricts to a vector field  $A$  to  $W$ . If  $\{\psi'_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is the one-parameter subgroup generated by  $A$ , then

$$(2.8) \quad \psi_t = i \circ \psi'_t.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *The 1-form  $\eta$  is a contact form on  $W$  for which  $A$  is the characteristic vector field (Reeb field).*

*Proof.* First note that  $\eta(A_w) = \iota_\xi \Omega(-J\xi_w) = \Omega(J\xi_w, \xi_w) = s(w) = 1$  ( $w \in W$ ). Moreover, from (2.5),  $d\eta = i^* d\iota_\xi \Omega = i^*(d\iota_\xi \Omega + \iota_\xi d\Omega) = i^* \mathcal{L}_\xi \Omega = i^* \Omega$ . Hence,  $\eta \wedge d\eta^{n-1} \neq 0$  on  $W$  showing that  $\eta$  is a contact form. Noting (2.3), (2.8) and that both  $\varphi_t$  and  $\psi_\theta$  commutes each other, it is easy to see that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi'^*_t \iota_\xi \Omega &= \iota_\xi \Omega \quad \text{on } \tilde{M}. \\ \psi'^*_t \eta &= \eta \quad \text{on } W. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\text{Null } \eta = \{X \in TW \mid \eta(X) = 0\}$  be the contact subbundle. Since  $\mathcal{L}_A \eta(X) = A\eta(X) - \eta([A, X])$  and  $\mathcal{L}_A \eta = 0$  from (2.9), if  $X \in \text{Null } \eta$ , then  $\eta([A, X]) = 0$ . Moreover,  $d\eta(A, X) = \frac{1}{2}(A\eta(X) - X\eta(A) - \eta([A, X])) = 0$ , which implies that  $d\eta(A, X) = 0$  for all  $X \in TW$ , showing that  $A$  is the characteristic vector field. □

Recall that  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\pi} W$  is a principal fiber bundle with  $T\mathbb{R} = \langle \xi \rangle$ . By Lemma 2.3, each point  $x \in \tilde{M}$  can be described uniquely as  $x = \varphi_t w$ . Using (2.8),

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi \circ \psi_\theta(x) &= \pi \circ \psi_\theta(\varphi_t w) = \pi \circ \varphi_t(\psi_\theta w) \\ &= \pi \circ i\psi'_\theta(w) = \psi'_\theta(w) = \psi'_\theta \circ \pi(x), \end{aligned}$$

hence,  $\pi_*(-J\xi) = A$ . As  $i_*\pi_*X_x - X_x = a \cdot \xi_x$  for some function  $a$ , using (2.6),  $\pi$  maps  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$  isomorphically onto  $\text{Null } \eta$ . Since  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$  is  $J$ -invariant, there exists an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\text{Null } \eta$  such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \text{Null } \eta \\ \downarrow J & & \downarrow J \\ \{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & \text{Null } \eta. \end{array}$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The pair  $(\eta, J)$  is a strictly pseudoconvex, pseudo-Hermitian structure on  $\tilde{W}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Psi : \text{Null } \eta \times \text{Null } \eta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the bilinear form defined by  $\Psi(X, Y) = d\eta(JX, Y)$ . There exist  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$  such that  $\pi_*\tilde{X} = X, \pi_*\tilde{Y} = Y$ . Then it is easy to see that  $i_*JX \equiv J\tilde{X}, i_*Y \equiv \tilde{Y} \bmod \xi$ . Using  $d\eta = i^*\Omega$  as above,  $\Psi(X, Y) = i^*\Omega(JX, Y) = \Omega(J\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = h(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ , hence  $\Psi$  is positive definite. By definition,  $\eta$  is strictly pseudoconvex. Let  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C} = B^{1,0} \oplus B^{0,1}$  be the canonical splitting of  $J$ . Then we prove that  $[B^{1,0}, B^{1,0}] \subset B^{1,0}$ . Let  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in B^{1,0}$ . Since  $T^{1,0}\tilde{M} = \{\xi - iJ\xi\} \oplus B^{1,0}$  and  $J$  is integrable on  $\tilde{M}$ ,  $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] \in T^{1,0}\tilde{M}$ . Put  $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = a(\xi - iJ\xi) + \tilde{Z}$  for some function  $a$  and  $\tilde{Z} \in B^{1,0}$ . As  $\pi_*(-J\xi) = A$  from (2.10),  $\pi_*([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]) = aiA + \pi_*\tilde{Z}$ . By definition,  $2d\eta(\pi_*\tilde{X}, \pi_*\tilde{Y}) = -\eta([\pi_*\tilde{X}, \pi_*\tilde{Y}]) = -ai$ . On the other hand, since  $\Omega$  is  $J$ -invariant,  $\Omega(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$  for  $\forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in B^{1,0}$ . As above,  $i_*\pi_*\tilde{X} \equiv \tilde{X} \bmod \xi$ , similarly for  $\tilde{Y}$ , we obtain that  $d\eta(\pi_*\tilde{X}, \pi_*\tilde{Y}) = \Omega(i_*\pi_*\tilde{X}, i_*\pi_*\tilde{Y}) = \Omega(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$ . Hence,  $a = 0$  and so  $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}] = \tilde{Z} \in B^{1,0}$ . If we note that  $\pi_* : \{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Null } \eta \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is  $J$ -isomorphic by (2.11), then  $\text{Null } \eta \otimes \mathbb{C} = \pi_*B^{1,0} \oplus \pi_*B^{0,1}$  is the splitting for  $J$ , in which we have shown  $[\pi_*B^{1,0}, \pi_*B^{1,0}] \subset \pi_*B^{1,0}$ . Therefore  $J$  is a complex structure on  $\text{Null } \eta$ .  $\square$

Consider the group of pseudo-Hermitian transformations on  $(W, \eta, J)$ :

$$(2.12) \quad \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J) = \{f \in \text{Diff}(W) \mid f^*\eta = \eta, f_* \circ J = J \circ f_* \text{ on } \text{Null } \eta\}.$$

**Corollary 2.1.** *The characteristic vector field  $A$  generates the subgroup  $\{\psi'_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  consisting of pseudo-Hermitian transformations.*

*Proof.* By (2.3) and (2.9),  $\psi_t$  (resp.  $\psi'_t$ ) preserves  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$  (resp.  $\text{Null } \eta$ ). Then the equality  $\pi \circ \psi_\theta = \psi'_\theta \circ \pi$  from (2.10) with diagram (2.11) implies that  $\psi'_{t*}J = J\psi'_{t*}$  on  $\text{Null } \eta$ . Therefore

$$(2.13) \quad \{\psi'_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J).$$

$\square$

**Proof of Theorem A.**

**2.2. Parallel Lee form.** Let  $Y_{\varphi tw} \in T_{\varphi tw}\tilde{M}$  be any vector field. As  $\pi_*Y_{\varphi tw} \in T_wW$ ,  $i_*\pi_*Y_{\varphi tw} - \varphi_{-t*}Y_{\varphi tw} = \lambda\xi_w$  for some function  $\lambda$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_\xi \Omega(i_*\pi_*Y_{\varphi tw}) &= \Omega(\xi_w, i_*\pi_*Y_{\varphi tw}) = \Omega(\xi_w, \varphi_{-t*}Y_{\varphi tw}) + \Omega(\xi_w, \lambda\xi_w) \\ &= \varphi_{-t}^* \Omega(\varphi_{t*}\xi_w, Y_{\varphi tw}) = e^{-t} \Omega(\xi_{\varphi tw}, Y_{\varphi tw}) = e^{-t} \iota_\xi \Omega(Y_{\varphi tw}). \end{aligned}$$

By definition (2.6),

$$(2.14) \quad \pi^*\eta = \pi^*i^*\iota_\xi \Omega = e^{-t} \iota_\xi \Omega, \quad \text{equivalently, } e^t \pi^*\eta = \iota_\xi \Omega.$$

As  $\Omega = \mathcal{L}_\xi \Omega = d\iota_\xi \Omega$  from (2.5), we obtain that

$$(2.15) \quad d(e^t \pi^*\eta) = \Omega \text{ on } \tilde{M}.$$

For the given l.c.K. metric  $g$ , the Kähler metric  $h$  is obtained as  $h = e^{-\tau} \cdot p^*g$  where  $d\tau = \tilde{\theta}$ . As  $\omega$  is the fundamental 2-form of  $g$ , note that  $\Omega = e^{-\tau} \cdot p^*\omega$ .

We now consider on  $\tilde{M}$  the 2-form:

$$(2.16) \quad \bar{\Theta} = 2e^{-t} \cdot d(e^t \pi^* \eta) (= 2e^{-t} \cdot \Omega).$$

Then  $\bar{g}(X, Y) = \bar{\Theta}(JX, Y)$  is a l.c.K. metric. Put  $\bar{\theta} = -dt$ . Then, as  $d\bar{\Theta} = -2e^{-t} dt \wedge d(e^t \pi^* \eta) = -dt \wedge \bar{\Theta}$ , so  $\bar{\theta}$  is the Lee form of  $\bar{g}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.**  $\bar{\theta}$  is parallel w.r.t.  $\bar{g}$  ( $\nabla^{\bar{g}} \bar{\theta} = 0$ ).

*Proof.* First we determine the Lee field  $\bar{\theta}^\sharp$ . ( $\bar{\theta}(X) = \bar{g}(X, \bar{\theta}^\sharp)$ .) We start from:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\xi, Y) &= \bar{\Theta}(J\xi, Y) = 2e^{-t}(e^t dt \wedge \pi^* \eta + e^t d\pi^* \eta)(J\xi, Y) \\ &= 2(dt \wedge \pi^* \eta + d\pi^* \eta)(J\xi, Y) = 2(dt \wedge \pi^* \eta)(J\xi, Y) \end{aligned}$$

because  $A = -\pi_* J\xi$  is the characteristic vector field of the contact form  $\eta$ . As before, a point  $x \in \tilde{M}$  can be described uniquely as  $\varphi_t w$  for some  $w \in W$ . In particular, using Lemma 2.3, the  $t$ -coordinate of  $x$  is  $t$ . Noting that  $\psi_\theta(x) = \varphi_t \psi_\theta w$  and  $\psi_\theta w \in W$ , by uniqueness the  $t$ -coordinate of  $\psi_\theta(x)$ ,  $t(\psi_\theta(x)) = t$ . From (2.7),

$$(2.17) \quad dt(-J\xi_x) = dt\left(\frac{d\psi_\theta}{d\theta}(x)|_{\theta=0}\right) = \frac{dt}{d\theta}|_{\theta=0} = 0.$$

The above formula becomes:

$$(2.18) \quad \bar{g}(\xi, Y) = 2(dt \wedge \pi^* \eta)(J\xi, Y) = -dt(Y)\eta(-A) = dt(Y) = -\bar{\theta}(Y) = -\bar{g}(Y, \bar{\theta}^\sharp)$$

proving that  $\bar{\theta}^\sharp = -\xi$ . Next we observe that the flow  $\{\varphi_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  acts by isometries w.r.t.  $\bar{g}$ . As  $\varphi_s$  is holomorphic, it is enough to prove that each  $\varphi_s$  leaves  $\bar{\Theta}$  invariant. But

$$\varphi_s^* \bar{\Theta} = 2e^{-\varphi_s^* t} d(e^{\varphi_s^* t} \varphi_s^* \pi^* \eta) = 2e^{-(s+t)} d(e^{s+t} \pi^* \eta) = 2e^{-t} d(e^t \pi^* \eta) = \bar{\Theta}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\theta}^\sharp} \bar{g} = -\mathcal{L}_\xi \bar{g} = 0$ . Now we put  $\sigma = \bar{\theta}$  in the equality  $(\mathcal{L}_{\sigma^\sharp} \bar{g})(X, Y) + 2d\sigma(X, Y) = 2\bar{g}(\nabla_X^\sigma \sigma^\sharp, Y)$ , valid for any 1-form  $\sigma$ , take into account  $d\bar{\theta} = 0$  and obtain  $\nabla^{\bar{g}} \bar{\theta}^\sharp = 0$  which is equivalent with  $\nabla^{\bar{g}} \bar{\theta} = 0$ , so  $\bar{\theta}$  is parallel w.r.t.  $\bar{g}$  as announced.  $\square$

By equation (2.16),  $\bar{g}$  is conformal to the lifted metric  $p^*g$ :

$$(2.19) \quad \bar{\Theta} = \mu \cdot p^* \omega \quad (\text{equivalently } \bar{g} = \mu \cdot p^* g)$$

where  $\mu = 2e^{-(t+\tau)} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a smooth map. We finally prove:

**Lemma 2.6.**  $\pi_1(M)$  acts by holomorphic isometries of  $\bar{g}$ . In particular,  $\pi_1(M)$  leaves  $\bar{\theta}$  invariant.

*Proof.* We prove the following two facts:

1.  $\gamma^* \pi^* \eta = \pi^* \eta$  for every  $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$ .
2.  $\gamma^* e^t = \rho(\gamma) \cdot e^t$  where  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is the homomorphism as before.



First note that as  $\mathbb{R} = \{\varphi_t\}$  centralizes  $\pi_1(M)$ ,  $\gamma_*\xi = \xi$  for  $\gamma \in \pi_1(M)$ . As  $\gamma$  is holomorphic,  $\gamma_*J\xi = J\xi$ . Since  $\pi_1(M)$  acts on  $\tilde{M}$  as holomorphic homothetic transformations, (i.e.,  $\gamma^*\Omega = \rho(\gamma) \cdot \Omega$ ),  $\pi_1(M)$  preserves  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$ . If we recall that  $\pi_* : \{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp \rightarrow \text{Null } \eta$  is isomorphic, then for  $X \in \{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$ ,  $\gamma^*\pi^*\eta(X) = \eta(\pi_*\gamma_*X) = 0$ . As  $-\pi_*J\xi = A$  is characteristic, it follows  $\gamma^*\pi^*\eta(J\xi) = \eta(\pi_*\gamma_*J\xi) = \eta(\pi_*J\xi) = -1$ . This shows that  $\gamma^*\pi^*\eta = \pi^*\eta$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . On the other hand, if we note  $\gamma_*\xi = \xi$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^*(\iota_\xi\Omega)(X) &= \Omega(\xi, \gamma_*X) = \Omega(\gamma_*\xi, \gamma_*X) = \gamma^*\Omega(\xi, X) \\ &= \rho(\gamma) \cdot \Omega(\xi, X) = \rho(\gamma) \cdot \iota_\xi\Omega(X)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\rho(\gamma)$  is a positive constant number. Applying  $\gamma^*$  to  $\pi^*\eta = e^{-t} \cdot \iota_\xi\Omega$  from (2.14), we obtain  $\gamma^*e^{-t} \cdot \rho(\gamma) = e^{-t}$ . Equivalently,  $\gamma^*e^t = \rho(\gamma) \cdot e^t$ . This shows **1** and **2**.

From (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^*\bar{\Theta} &= \gamma^*(2e^{-t} \cdot d(e^t\pi^*\eta)) = 2\rho(\gamma)^{-1} \cdot e^{-t}d(\rho(\gamma) \cdot e^t\gamma^*\pi^*\eta) \\ &= 2e^{-t} \cdot d(e^t\pi^*\eta) = \bar{\Theta}.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\bar{g}(X, Y) = \bar{\Theta}(JX, Y)$ ,  $\pi_1(M)$  acts through holomorphic isometries of  $\bar{g}$ . We have that  $\bar{\theta}(Y) = \bar{g}(Y, \bar{\theta}^\sharp) = -\bar{g}(Y, \xi)$  ( $Y \in T\tilde{M}$ ) from (2.18). Then,

$$\gamma^*\bar{\theta}(Y) = -\bar{g}(\gamma_*Y, \xi) = -\bar{g}(\gamma_*Y, \gamma_*\xi) = -\bar{g}(Y, \xi) = \bar{\theta}(Y).$$

□

From this lemma, the covering map  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  induces a l.c.K. metric  $\hat{g}$  with parallel Lee form  $\hat{\theta}$  on  $M$  such that  $p^*\hat{g} = \bar{g}$  and  $p^*\hat{\theta} = \bar{\theta}$  with  $\nabla_{p_*X}^{\hat{g}}\hat{\theta}(p_*Y) = \nabla_X^{\bar{g}}\bar{\theta}(Y)$ . Applying  $\gamma^*$  to the both side of (2.19), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^*\bar{g} &= \bar{g} = \mu \cdot p^*g. \\ \gamma^*\mu \cdot \gamma^*p^*g &= \gamma^*\mu \cdot p^*g.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\gamma^*\mu = \mu$  which implies that  $\mu$  factors through a map  $\hat{\mu} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  so that  $p^*\hat{g} = p^*(\hat{\mu} \cdot g)$ . We have  $\hat{\mu} \cdot g = \hat{g}$ . The conformal class of  $g$  contains a l.c.K. metric  $\hat{g}$  with parallel Lee form  $\hat{\theta}$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem A. □

As to Corollary A<sub>1</sub> in the Introduction, we recall the following. (Compare [17], [5, p.37].) Let  $(M, g, J)$  be a compact, connected, non-Kähler, l.c.K. manifold with parallel Lee form  $\theta$ . Then the following results hold:  $g(\theta^\sharp, \theta^\sharp) = \text{const}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp}J &= \mathcal{L}_{J\theta^\sharp}J = 0, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\theta^\sharp}g &= \mathcal{L}_{J\theta^\sharp}g = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $Z = \theta^\sharp - iJ\theta^\sharp$  is a holomorphic vector field because  $[\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp] = 0$  (cf. [11]). By Definition 1.1,  $Z = \theta^\sharp - iJ\theta^\sharp$  is a holomorphic l.c.K. vector field.

**Proposition 2.4.** *The real vector fields  $\theta^\sharp$  and  $J\theta^\sharp$  satisfy the following:*

1. *A flow generated by the Lee field  $\theta^\sharp$  lifts to a one-parameter subgroup of nontrivial homothetic holomorphic transformations w.r.t.  $\Omega$ .*

2. A flow generated by the anti-Lee field  $-J\theta^\sharp$  lifts to a one-parameter subgroup consisting of holomorphic isometries w.r.t.  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\hat{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be the flow generated by  $\theta^\sharp$  on  $M$  and  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  its lift to  $\tilde{M}$ . Denote by  $\xi$  the vector field on  $\tilde{M}$  induced by  $\{\varphi_t\}$ . Then,  $p_*\xi = \theta^\sharp$ . Because  $\theta$  is parallel,  $\{\hat{\varphi}_t\}$  (resp.  $\{\varphi_t\}$ ) acts by holomorphic isometries w.r.t.  $g$  (resp.  $p^*g$ ). In particular,  $\{\varphi_t\}$  preserves  $p^*\omega$ . Then, for  $\Omega = e^{-\tau}p^*\omega$ , we have  $\varphi_t^*\Omega = e^{-(\varphi_t^*\tau - \tau)}\Omega$ . As  $\rho : \{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a homomorphism and  $\rho(\varphi_t) = e^{-(\varphi_t^*\tau - \tau)}$  is a constant for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M \geq 2$ ), we can describe as  $-(\varphi_t^*\tau - \tau) = c \cdot t$  for some constant  $c$ . Recall that  $h$  is the Kähler metric associated to  $\Omega$ . If  $\{\varphi_t\}$  acts as holomorphic isometries w.r.t.  $h$ , then the above equation implies that  $c = 0$ , i.e.  $\varphi_t^*\tau - \tau = 0$  for every  $t$ , and so  $\mathcal{L}_\xi\tau = 0$ . On the other hand, as  $d\tau = p^*\theta$ , we have:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_\xi\tau = d\tau(\xi) = \theta(p_*\xi) = \theta(\theta^\sharp) = \text{const} > 0,$$

being a contradiction. Thus,  $\varphi_t^*\Omega = \rho(\varphi_t)\Omega = e^{c \cdot t}\Omega$  with  $c \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a group of nontrivial homothetic holomorphic transformations isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, let  $\{\hat{\psi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  (resp.  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ ) be the flow generated by  $-J\theta^\sharp$  on  $M$  (resp.  $-J\xi$  on  $\tilde{M}$ ). As  $p_*(J\xi) = Jp_*\xi = J\theta^\sharp$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{J\xi}\tau = d\tau(J\xi) = p^*\theta(J\xi) = \theta(J\theta^\sharp) = g(J\theta^\sharp, \theta^\sharp) = 0,$$

and hence  $\psi_t^*\tau = \tau$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using the fact that  $\mathcal{L}_{J\theta^\sharp}g = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{J\theta^\sharp}\omega = 0$ . This implies that  $\psi_t^*\Omega = \psi_t^*e^{-\tau}\psi_t^*p^*\omega = e^{-\tau}p^*\psi_t^*\omega = e^{-\tau}p^*\omega = \Omega$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\pi} W$  be the principal bundle where  $\mathbb{R} = \{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  (cf. Lemma 2.2). Define the centralizer of  $\mathbb{R}$  in  $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{M}, \Omega, J)$  to be:

**Definition 2.1.**  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(\tilde{M}, \Omega, J) \mid f \circ \varphi_t = \varphi_t \circ f \text{ for } \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

As  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  centralizes the fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$ , noting the remark below (2.1),

$$(2.20) \quad \pi_1(M) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}).$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *There exists a homomorphism  $\nu : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$  for which  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow W$  becomes  $\nu$ -equivariant. Moreover, there is a splitting homomorphism  $q : \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R})$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, any element  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $f_*\xi = \xi$ . As  $f^*\Omega = \rho(f)\Omega$ , choosing  $e^s = \rho(f)$ , put  $\gamma = \varphi_{-s} \circ f$ . Then,  $\gamma^*\Omega = \Omega$ . In particular,  $\gamma$  leaves  $W$  invariant. Let  $\gamma'$  be the restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $W$  (i.e.,  $i \circ \gamma' = \gamma$ ). Using (2.6) and  $\gamma_*\xi = \xi$ , we have that  $\gamma'^*\eta = \gamma^*\mathcal{L}_\xi\Omega = \mathcal{L}_\xi\Omega = \eta$ . Hence  $\gamma' \in \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$ . If we define  $\nu(f) = \gamma'$ , then it is easy to see that  $\nu$  is a well defined homomorphism. Let  $x = \varphi_t w$  be a point in  $\tilde{M}$ . As  $\pi(x) = w$ ,  $\pi(fx) = \pi(\varphi_s \gamma(\varphi_t w)) = \pi(\varphi_s \varphi_t i \gamma' w) = \pi(i \gamma' w) = \gamma' w = \nu(f)\pi(x)$ , so  $\pi$  is  $\nu$ -equivariant.

For  $\gamma \in \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$ , we define a diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  to be

$$(2.21) \quad \tilde{\gamma}(x) = \tilde{\gamma}(\varphi_t w) = \varphi_t \gamma w.$$

By definition,  $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \pi$  and the  $t$ -coordinate satisfies that  $\tilde{\gamma}^*t = t$ . Using (2.15) and  $\gamma^*\eta = \eta$ , it follows that  $\tilde{\gamma}^*\Omega = d(e^{\gamma^*t} \pi^* \gamma^* \eta) = d(e^t \pi^* \eta) = \Omega$ . To see that  $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  is

holomorphic, notice that  $\tilde{\gamma}_*\xi = \xi$ . As  $\tilde{\gamma}(\psi_\theta x) = \tilde{\gamma}(\psi_\theta \varphi_t w) = \tilde{\gamma}(\varphi_t i \psi'_\theta w) = \varphi_t i \gamma \psi'_\theta w$ , and  $\gamma_* A = A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.22) \quad \tilde{\gamma}_*(-J\xi_x) &= \tilde{\gamma}_*\left(\frac{d\psi_\theta}{d\theta}(x)|_{\theta=0}\right) = \left(\frac{d\varphi_t i \gamma(\psi'_\theta w)}{d\theta}\right)|_{\theta=0} \\
 &= \varphi_{t*} i_* \gamma_* \left(\frac{d\psi'_\theta}{d\theta}(w)|_{\theta=0}\right) = \varphi_{t*} i_* \gamma_* A_w = \varphi_{t*} i_* A_{\gamma w} = \varphi_{t*}(-J\xi_{\gamma w}) = -J\xi_{\tilde{\gamma}x}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\tilde{\gamma}$  preserves  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$ . Since the complex structure  $J : \text{Null } \eta \rightarrow \text{Null } \eta$  is defined by the commutative diagram (2.11),  $J\gamma_*(\pi_* X) = \gamma_* J(\pi_* X)$  for  $X \in \{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$  by definition. Then  $\pi_* \tilde{\gamma}_* J(X) = J\gamma_* \pi_*(X) = J\pi_* \tilde{\gamma}_*(X) = \pi_* J\tilde{\gamma}_*(X)$ . As a consequence,  $\tilde{\gamma}_* \circ J = J \circ \tilde{\gamma}_*$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . Hence,  $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ . It is easy to check that  $q(\gamma) = \tilde{\gamma}$  is a homomorphism of  $\text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$  into  $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\nu \circ q = \text{id}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** From this lemma, there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \approx \mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$  where each element of  $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$  is described as  $\varphi_s \cdot q(\alpha)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \in \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$ . It acts on  $\tilde{M}$  as

$$\varphi_s \cdot q(\alpha)(\varphi_t \cdot w) = \varphi_{s+t} \cdot \alpha w,$$

for which there is an equivariant principal bundle:

$$\mathbb{R} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}), \tilde{M}) \xrightarrow{(\nu, \pi)} (\text{PSH}(W, \eta, J), W).$$

**2.3. Central group extension.** Consider the exact sequence:

$$(2.23) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J) \rightarrow 1.$$

Suppose that  $\mathbb{R} \cap \pi_1(M)$  is nontrivial. Then it is an infinite cyclic subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that the quotient group  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is a circle  $S^1$ . Put  $Q = \nu(\pi_1(M)) \subset \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$ . We have a central group extension:

$$(2.24) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\nu} Q \rightarrow 1.$$

The above principal bundle restricts to the following one:

$$(2.25) \quad (\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (\pi_1(M), \tilde{M}) \xrightarrow{(\nu, \pi)} (Q, W).$$

As both  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi_1(M)$  act properly on  $\tilde{M}$ ,  $Q$  acts also properly discontinuously (but not necessarily freely) on  $W$  such that the quotient Hausdorff space  $W/Q$  is compact. Since  $\rho(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \rho(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^+$  from § 2.1,  $\rho(\mathbb{Z})$  is an infinite cyclic subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . We need the following lemma. (Compare [9], [4].)

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\nu} Q \rightarrow 1$  be the central extension as in (2.24). Then,  $\pi_1(M)$  has a splitting subgroup  $\pi'$  of finite index:  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi' \xrightarrow{\nu} Q' \rightarrow 1$ . In particular, there exists a subgroup  $H'$  of  $\pi'$  which maps isomorphically onto a subgroup  $Q'$  of finite index in  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the homomorphism  $\rho' = \rho|_{\pi_1(M)} : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  from (2.1). Then,  $\rho'(\pi_1(M))$  is a free abelian group of rank  $k \geq 1$ . If we note that  $\rho'(\mathbb{Z})$  is an infinite cyclic subgroup of  $\rho'(\pi_1(M))$ , then we can choose a subgroup  $G$  of finite index in  $\rho'(\pi_1(M))$  such that  $\rho'(\mathbb{Z})$  is a direct summand in  $G$ ;  $G = \rho'(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}^{k-1}$ . Put  $\pi' = \rho'^{-1}(G)$  and  $H' = \rho'^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}^{k-1})$ . Then,  $\pi'$  has finite index in  $\pi_1(M)$ . Obviously  $\nu$  maps  $H'$  isomorphically onto  $\nu(H') = Q'$  which is of finite index in  $Q$ . □

**Proposition 2.5.** *The subgroup  $Q'$  acts freely on  $W$  so that the orbit space  $W/Q'$  is a closed strictly pseudoconvex pseudo-Hermitian manifold induced from the pseudo-Hermitian structure  $(\eta, J)$  on  $W$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f = \nu'^{-1} : Q' \rightarrow H'$  be the inverse isomorphism. For each  $\alpha' \in Q'$  there exists a unique element  $\lambda(\alpha') \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(\alpha') = \varphi_{\lambda(\alpha')} \cdot q(\alpha')$ . As we know that  $Q$  acts properly discontinuously on  $W$  from the remark below (2.25), the stabilizer at each point is finite. Suppose that  $\alpha'w = w$  for some point  $w \in W$ . As  $\alpha' \in Q_w$ ,  $\alpha'^\ell = 1$  for some  $\ell$ . Since  $\varphi_t$  is a central element and  $q$  is a homomorphism,  $1 = f(\alpha'^\ell) = \varphi_{\ell\lambda(\alpha')} \cdot q(\alpha'^\ell) = \varphi_{\ell\lambda(\alpha')}$ . Thus,  $\lambda(\alpha') = 0$ , i.e.,  $f(\alpha') = q(\alpha')$ . By definition of the action  $(\pi', \tilde{M})$ ,  $f(\alpha')(\varphi_t w) = q(\alpha')(\varphi_t w) = \varphi_t \alpha' w = \varphi_t w$ . As  $\pi'$  acts freely on  $\tilde{M}$ ,  $f(\alpha') = 1$  and so  $\alpha' = 1$ . If we note that  $Q' \subset \text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$ , then  $(\eta, J)$  induces a pseudo-Hermitian structure  $(\hat{\eta}, J)$  on  $W/Q'$ . Here we use the same notation  $J$  to the complex structure on  $\text{Null } \hat{\eta}$ . □

### 3. EXAMPLES OF L.C.K. MANIFOLDS WITH PARALLEL LEE FORM

In this section we present an explicit construction for the Hopf manifolds. Let  $S^{2n-1} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 = 1\}$  be the sphere endowed with its standard contact structure

$$(3.1) \quad \eta_0 = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j dy_j - y_j dx_j), \text{ where } z_j = x_j + \sqrt{-1} y_j.$$

Let  $J_0$  be the restriction of the standard complex structure of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ . It is known that the group of pseudo-Hermitian transformations,  $\text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_0, J_0)$  is isomorphic with  $U(n)$  (see [20], for example). We define a 1-parameter subgroup  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_0, J_0)$  by the formula:

$$\psi_t(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (e^{ita_1} z_1, \dots, e^{ita_n} z_n),$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . The vector field induced by this action is

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \left( x_j \frac{d}{dy_j} - y_j \frac{d}{dx_j} \right)$$

and satisfies  $\eta_0(A) = a_1 |z_1|^2 + \dots + a_n |z_n|^2$ .

Now we require that  $\eta_0(A) > 0$  everywhere on  $S^{2n-1}$ . Then the numbers  $a_k$  must satisfy (up to rearrangement):

$$(3.2) \quad 0 < a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n.$$

Define a new contact form  $\eta_A$  on the sphere by

$$\eta_A = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j |z_j|^2} \cdot \eta_0.$$

The contact distributions of  $\eta_0$  and  $\eta_A$  coincide, but the characteristic field of  $\eta_A$  is  $A$ :  $\eta_A(A) = 1$ ,  $\iota_A d\eta_A = 0$ . As  $A$  generates the flow  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_0, J_0)$ , note that  $\psi_{t*} \circ J_0 = J_0 \circ \psi_{t*}$  on  $\text{Null } \eta_A$ . Define a 2-form on the product  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$  by:

$$\Omega_A = 2d(e^t \text{pr}^* \eta_A), \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Here  $\text{pr} : \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$  is the projection. If  $\mathbb{R} = \{\varphi_s\}_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$  acts on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$  by left translations:  $\varphi_s(t, z) = (s+t, w)$ , then the group  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  acts by homothetic transformations w.r.t.  $\Omega_A$ :

$$(3.3) \quad (\varphi_s \times \alpha)^* \Omega_A = e^s \cdot \Omega_A, \quad (\alpha \in \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)).$$

In general,  $\text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  is the centralizer of  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  in  $\text{U}(n)$ . In view of the formula of  $\psi_t$ ,  $\text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  contains the maximal torus of  $\text{U}(n)$  at least.

$$(3.4) \quad T^n \subset \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0).$$

(For example, if all  $a_j$  are distinct,  $\text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_0, J_0) = T^n$ ).

Let  $N = \frac{d}{dt}$  be the vector field induced on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$  by the  $\mathbb{R}$ -action. Taking into account that  $T(\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}) = N \oplus A \oplus \text{Null } \eta_A$ , we define an almost complex structure  $J_A$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} J_A N &= -A, & J_A A &= N, \\ J_A|_{\text{Null } \eta_A} &= J_0 \end{aligned}$$

and show its integrability. Indeed, let

$$T(\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \{T^{1,0} + (A - iN)\} \oplus \{T^{0,1} + (A + iN)\}$$

be the splitting corresponding to  $J_A$  (here  $T^{1,0} + T^{0,1} = \text{Null } \eta_A \otimes \mathbb{C}$ ). As  $J_A|_{\text{Null } \eta_A} = J_0$ ,  $[T^{1,0}, T^{0,1}] \subset T^{1,0}$ . Recalling that  $A$  is the characteristic field of  $\eta_A$ , we see that  $[X, A] \in \text{Null } \eta_A$  for any  $X \in \text{Null } \eta_A$ . If  $X \in T^{1,0}$ , then  $[X, A - iN] = [X, A] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{X - \psi_{-t*} X}{t}$ . Noting that  $\psi_t \in \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  (i.e.,  $\psi_{t*} J_0 = J_0 \psi_{t*}$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} J_A[X, A - iN] &= J_0[X, A] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{J_0 X - \psi_{-t*} J_0 X}{t} = [J_0 X, A] \\ &= [iX, A] = i[X, A] = i[X, A - iN]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $[X, A - iN] \in \{T^{1,0} + (A - iN)\}$ . Hence  $J_A$  is integrable. By the definition of  $J_A$ , it is easy to check that the elements of  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  are holomorphic w.r.t.  $J_A$ . Moreover,  $\Omega_A$  is  $J_A$ -invariant. Hence,  $\Omega_A$  is a Kähler form on the complex manifold  $(\mathbb{R} \times$

$S^{2n-1}, J_A)$  on which  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  acts as the group of holomorphic homothetic transformations. Define a Hermitian metric  $\tilde{g}_A$  and its fundamental 2-form  $\tilde{\omega}_A$  by setting

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_A &= 2e^{-t} \cdot \Omega_A. \\ \tilde{g}_A(X, Y) &= \tilde{\omega}_A(J_A X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in T(\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

(Compare (2.16).) By (3.3),  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  acts as holomorphic isometries of  $(\tilde{g}_A, J_A)$ . When we choose a properly discontinuous group  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  acting freely on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$ ,  $\tilde{g}_A$  (resp.  $\tilde{\omega}_A$ ) induces a Hermitian metric  $g_A$  (resp. the fundamental 2-form  $\omega_A$ ) on the quotient complex manifold  $(\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Gamma, \hat{J}_A)$ , where the complex structure  $\hat{J}_A$  is induced from  $J_A$ . We have to check that  $g_A$  is a l.c.K. metric with parallel Lee form. Let  $p : \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Gamma$  be the projection so that  $p^*\omega_A = \tilde{\omega}_A$ . Since  $\tilde{\omega}_A = e^{-t} \cdot \Omega_A$ , we have  $d\tilde{\omega}_A = -dt \wedge \tilde{\omega}_A$ . Thus  $\tilde{g}_A$  is a l.c.K. metric with Lee form  $d(-t)$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$ . If we note that the group  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  leaves  $d(-t)$  invariant, i.e.  $(\varphi_s \times \alpha)^*d(-t) = d(-(s+t)) = d(-t)$ , then  $d(-t)$  induces a 1-form  $\theta$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Gamma$  such that  $p^*\theta = d(-t)$ . The equation  $d\tilde{\omega}_A = -dt \wedge \tilde{\omega}_A$  implies that  $d\omega_A = \theta \wedge \omega_A$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Gamma$ . As  $d\theta = 0$ ,  $g_A$  is a l.c.K. metric with Lee form  $\theta$ . For the rest, the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.5 can be applied to show that  $\theta$  is the parallel Lee form of  $g_A$ . Finally, we examine the complex structure  $\hat{J}_A$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Gamma$ . Let  $H : \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$  be the diffeomorphism defined by:

$$H(t, (z_1, \dots, z_n)) = (e^{-a_1 t} z_1, \dots, e^{-a_n t} z_n),$$

where  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  satisfies the condition (3.2). We shall show that  $H$  is a  $(J_A, J_0)$ -biholomorphism. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(N_{(s,z)}) &= \frac{dH(t+s, z)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = (-a_1 \cdot e^{-a_1 s} \cdot z_1, \dots, -a_n \cdot e^{-a_n s} \cdot z_n); \\ H_*(J_A N_{(s,z)}) &= H_*(-A_{(s,z)}) = -H_*((s, \frac{d}{dt}(e^{ita_1} z_1, \dots, e^{ita_n} z_n) \Big|_{t=0})) \\ &= -(ia_1 e^{-a_1 s} z_1, \dots, ia_n e^{-a_n s} z_n) = J_0 H_*(N_{(s,z)}). \end{aligned}$$

From  $H_*(A_{(s,z)}) = -J_0 H_*(N_{(s,z)})$ , we derive  $J_0 H_*(A_{(s,z)}) = H_*(N_{(s,z)}) = H_*(J_A A)$ . Now let  $X \in \text{Null } \eta_A \subset TS^{2n-1}$  and let  $\sigma(t)$  be an integral curve of  $X$  on  $S^{2n-1}$ :  $\dot{\sigma}(t) = X$ ,  $\dot{\sigma}(0) = X_z$ . We can view  $X$  as a pair:  $X_{(s,z)} = (s, \dot{\sigma}(0))$ . Then:

$$H_*(X_{(s,z)}) = \frac{d}{dt} H(s, \sigma(t)) \Big|_{t=0} = (e^{-a_1 s} \dot{\sigma}_1(0), \dots, e^{-a_n s} \dot{\sigma}_n(0)).$$

From this we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(J_A X_{(s,z)}) &= H_*((s, J_0 \dot{\sigma}(0))) = H_*((s, (i\dot{\sigma}_1(0), \dots, i\dot{\sigma}_n(0)))) \\ &= (ie^{-a_1 s} \dot{\sigma}_1(0), \dots, ie^{-a_n s} \dot{\sigma}_n(0)) \\ &= J_0(e^{-a_1 s} \dot{\sigma}_1(0), \dots, e^{-a_n s} \dot{\sigma}_n(0)) = J_0 H_*(X_{(s,z)}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $H : (\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}, J_A) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}, J_0)$  is a biholomorphism.

Let  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}, J_0)$  be the group of all biholomorphic transformations. If we associate to each  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$  the biholomorphic map  $H \circ \gamma \circ H^{-1}$ , we obtain a faithful

homomorphism  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0) \longrightarrow \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}, J_0)$ . Let  $\Gamma_H$  be the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}, J_0)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** The quotient complex manifold  $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}/\Gamma_H$  is called a Hopf manifold.

We have shown:

**Theorem 3.1.** *The Hopf manifold  $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}/\Gamma_H$  admits a l.c.K. metric  $g$  with parallel Lee form  $\theta$ .*

By (3.4),  $T^n \subset \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_A, J_0)$ . Choose  $s \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  and  $n$ -complex numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in S^1$ . Consider an infinite cyclic subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}$  generated by the element  $(s, (c_1, \dots, c_n))$  from  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_0, J_0)$ . Then the corresponding group  $\mathbb{Z}_H$  is generated by the element  $(e^{-a_1 s} \cdot c_1, \dots, e^{-a_n s} \cdot c_n)$  acting on  $\mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}$ . Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , with  $\lambda_j = e^{-a_j s} \cdot c_j$  and so  $\mathbb{Z}_H = \langle (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \rangle$ . The condition (3.2) ensures that the complex numbers  $\lambda_j$  satisfy

$$0 < |\lambda_n| \leq \dots \leq |\lambda_1| < 1.$$

Put  $M_\Lambda = \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}/\Gamma_H$ . We call  $M_\Lambda$  a *primary Hopf manifold of type  $\Lambda$* . Indeed, for  $n = 2$ , one recovers the primary Hopf surfaces of Kähler rank 1. In particular, we derive Theorem B in the Introduction.

**Remark 3.1.** Note that the manifolds  $M_\Lambda$  are all diffeomorphic with  $S^1 \times S^{2n-1}$  and that for  $c_1 = \dots = c_n = 1$  and  $a_1 = \dots = a_n$ , we obtain the standard Hopf manifold, the first known example of a l.c.K. manifold with parallel Lee form, cf. [17].

In [6] a l.c.K. metric with parallel Lee form is constructed on the primary Hopf surface  $M_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} = \mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\}/\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$  generated by  $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\lambda_1 z_1, \lambda_2 z_2)$ ,  $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| > 1$ . There the diffeomorphism between  $M_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}$  and  $S^1 \times S^3$  is used to construct a potential for the Kähler metric  $h$  (in the present paper notations) on the universal cover. The same diffeomorphism is then used to transport the l.c.K. structure on  $S^1 \times S^3$  and to show that the induced Sasakian structure on  $S^3$  is a deformation of the standard Sasakian structure of the 3-sphere. See also [1] where a complete list of compact, complex surfaces admitting l.c.K. metrics with parallel Lee form is provided.

#### 4. LEE-CAUCHY-RIEMANN TRANSFORMATIONS

In this section, we consider the group  $\text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$  described in the Introduction. Let  $\{\theta, \theta \circ J, \theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^\alpha\}_{\alpha=1, \dots, n-1}$  be a unitary, local coframe field adapted to a l.c.K. manifold  $(M, g, J)$ . Consider the subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  consisting of the following elements:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & u & v^\alpha & \bar{v}^\alpha \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{u} U_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{u} \bar{U}_\beta^\alpha \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in \mathbb{R}^+, v^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, U_\beta^\alpha \in \text{U}(n-1) \right\}.$$

Let  $G \rightarrow P \rightarrow M$  be the principal bundle of the  $G$ -structure consisting of the above coframes  $\{\theta, \theta \circ J, \theta^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^\alpha\}$ . If we note that  $G$  is isomorphic to the semidirect product  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \rtimes (\text{U}(n-1) \times \mathbb{R}^+)$ , then the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} + \mathfrak{u}(n-1) + \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, the matrix group  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  has no element of rank 1, i.e. it is *elliptic* (cf.

[10]). Note that  $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  is of infinite type, while  $\mathfrak{u}(n-1) + \mathbb{R}$  is of order 2. As  $M$  is assumed to be compact, the group of automorphisms  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $P$  is a (finite dimensional) Lie group.

**Definition 4.1.** The group of all diffeomorphisms of  $M$  onto itself which preserve the above  $G$ -structure is denoted by  $\text{Aut}_{LCR}(M, g, J, \theta)$  (or simply by  $\text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$ ). We call  $\text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$  the group of Lee-Cauchy-Riemann transformations on a l.c.K. manifold  $(M, g, J)$  adapted to the Lee form  $\theta$ .

By definition, if  $f \in \text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$ , then  $f^* : P \rightarrow P$  is a bundle automorphism satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} f^*\theta &= \theta, \\ f^*(\theta \circ J) &= \lambda \cdot (\theta \circ J), \text{ for some positive, smooth function } \lambda, \\ f^*\theta^\alpha &= \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \theta^\beta V_\beta^\alpha + (\theta \circ J) \cdot w^\alpha, \\ f^*\bar{\theta}^\alpha &= \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \bar{\theta}^\beta \bar{V}_\beta^\alpha + (\theta \circ J) \cdot \bar{w}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

for functions  $V_\beta^\alpha \in \text{U}(n-1)$  and  $w^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Note that the group of holomorphic isometries  $\text{I}(M, g, J)$  is contained in  $\text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$ . In fact, an element  $f \in \text{I}(M, g, J)$  satisfies  $f^*\theta = \theta$ ,  $f^*(\theta \circ J) = (\theta \circ J)$  and  $f^*\omega = \omega$ . Let  $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement of the complex plane field  $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}$  w.r.t.  $g$ . It is obviously  $J$ -invariant. If we note that  $\omega|_{\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}^\perp} = -i \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \theta^\alpha \wedge \bar{\theta}^\beta$ , then  $f^*\theta^\alpha = \theta^\beta U_\beta^\alpha$ ,  $f^*\bar{\theta}^\alpha = \bar{\theta}^\beta \bar{U}_\beta^\alpha$  for some matrix function  $U_\beta^\alpha \in \text{U}(n-1)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Any element  $f \in \text{Aut}_{LCR}(M)$  preserves  $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}^\perp$  and is holomorphic on it.

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}^\perp$ . The equations  $f^*\theta = \theta$ ,  $f^*(\theta \circ J) = \lambda \cdot (\theta \circ J)$  show that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} g(f_*X, \theta^\sharp) &= \theta(f_*X) = \theta(X) = g(X, \theta^\sharp) = 0, \\ g(f_*X, J\theta^\sharp) &= -g(Jf_*X, \theta^\sharp) = -\theta(Jf_*X) = -\theta \circ J(f_*X) \\ &= -\lambda \cdot \theta \circ J(X) = -g(X, (\theta \circ J)^\sharp) = g(X, J\theta^\sharp) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f_*$  applies  $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}^\perp$  onto itself. Moreover, if  $\theta_\alpha^\sharp$  is a dual frame field to  $\theta^\alpha$  (similarly for  $\bar{\theta}^\alpha$ ), then the frame  $\{\theta_\alpha^\sharp, \bar{\theta}_\alpha^\sharp\}_{\alpha=1, \dots, n-1}$  spans  $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}^\perp \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .

The equation  $f^*\theta^\alpha = \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \theta^\beta V_\beta^\alpha + (\theta \circ J) \cdot w^\alpha$  implies that  $f_*\theta_\alpha^\sharp = \sqrt{\lambda} \cdot \theta_\beta^\sharp V_\alpha^\beta$  (similarly for  $f_*\bar{\theta}_\alpha^\sharp$ ). Therefore  $f_* \circ J = J \circ f_*$  on  $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}^\perp$ . □

When a noncompact  $LCR$  flow exists on a compact l.c.K. manifold  $M$  with parallel Lee form, we shall prove a rigidity similar to the one implied by a noncompact  $CR$ -flow on a compact  $CR$ -manifold (cf. [14], [8]).

### Proof of Theorem C.

**4.1. Existence of spherical  $CR$ -structure on  $W/Q'$ .** Let  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi' \xrightarrow{\nu} Q' \rightarrow 1$  be the split central group extension from Lemma 2.8. Put  $M' = \tilde{M}/\pi'$ . Then it is easy to see that the Lee form  $\theta$ , the  $LCR$ -action  $\mathbb{C}^*$  lift to those of  $M'$ , so we retain the same notations for  $M'$ . We put  $\mathbb{C}^* = S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  where  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{\hat{\phi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a  $LCR$  flow on  $M'$ . By hypothesis,  $S^1 = \{\hat{\varphi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  induces the Lee field  $\theta^\sharp$ . From 1 of Proposition 2.4,  $S^1$  lifts to a nontrivial



holomorphic homothetic flow  $\mathbb{R} = \{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $\tilde{M}$  w.r.t.  $\Omega$ . We obtain a LCR-action of  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  on  $\tilde{M}$  for which  $\mathbb{R}$  acts properly as before. Consider the commutative diagram of principal bundles:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \pi' & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Q' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \tilde{M}) & \xrightarrow{(\tilde{\nu}, \pi)} & (\mathbb{R}^+, W) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p & & \downarrow p \\ S^1 & \longrightarrow & (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+, M') & \xrightarrow{(\hat{\nu}, \hat{\pi})} & (\mathbb{R}^+, W/Q') \end{array}$$

From the bottom line, the projection  $\hat{\nu}$  maps the group  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{\hat{\phi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  onto a group  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{\bar{\phi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  acting on  $W/Q'$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *The group  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{\bar{\phi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  acts by CR-transformations on  $W/Q'$  w.r.t. the CR-structure induced from the strictly pseudoconvex, pseudo-Hermitian structure  $(\hat{\eta}, J)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\xi$  generates the flow  $\mathbb{R} = \{\varphi_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ ,  $p_*\xi = \theta^\sharp$  on  $M'$  by hypothesis and so  $p : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M'$  maps the complex plane field  $\{\xi, J\xi\}$  onto  $\{\theta^\sharp, J\theta^\sharp\}$ . By Lemma 4.1, each  $\hat{\phi}_t \in \text{Aut}_{LCR}(M')$  preserves  $\{\theta^\sharp, (\theta \circ J)^\sharp\}^\perp$ . So its lift  $\phi_t$  preserves the  $J$ -invariant distribution  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$ . Since  $\pi_* : (\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp, J) \rightarrow (\text{Null } \eta, J)$  is  $J$ -isomorphic and each  $\phi_t$  is holomorphic on  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$ ,  $\hat{\pi}_* : (\{\theta^\sharp, (\theta \circ J)^\sharp\}^\perp, J) \rightarrow (\text{Null } \hat{\eta}, J)$  is also  $J$ -isomorphic through the commutative diagram and thus each  $\bar{\phi}_t$  is holomorphic on  $\text{Null } \hat{\eta}$ ;  $(\bar{\phi}_{t*} \circ J = J \circ \bar{\phi}_{t*})$ . Therefore,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{\bar{\phi}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a closed, noncompact subgroup of CR-transformations of  $W/Q'$  w.r.t.  $(\text{Null } \hat{\eta}, J)$ .  $\square$

By this lemma, we obtain a compact strictly pseudoconvex CR-manifold  $W/Q'$  admitting a closed, noncompact CR-transformations  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then we apply the result of [8] to show that  $W/Q'$  is CR-equivalent to the sphere  $S^{2n-1}$  with the standard CR-structure. In particular  $Q' = \{1\}$  and thus  $Q$  is a finite subgroup of  $\text{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$  from Lemma 2.8. By definition of spherical CR-structure (cf. [12], [7]), there exists a developing pair:

$$(\mu, \text{dev}) : (\text{Aut}_{CR}(W), W) \rightarrow (\text{PU}(n, 1), S^{2n-1})$$

for which  $\text{dev}$  is a CR-diffeomorphism and  $\mu : \text{Aut}_{CR}(W) \rightarrow \text{PU}(n, 1)$  is the holonomy isomorphism. Here  $\text{PU}(n, 1) = \text{Aut}_{CR}(S^{2n-1})$  and  $\text{Aut}_{CR}(W)$  is the group of all CR-automorphisms of  $W$  containing the groups  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\text{PSH}(W, \eta, J) \supset Q$ .

As  $S^1 (\subset \mathbb{C}^*)$  acts on  $M$  without fixed points (but not necessarily freely), the quotient space  $M/S^1 = W/Q (\approx S^{2n-1}/\mu(Q))$  is an orbifold, so such a finite subgroup  $Q$  may exist.

On the other hand, we recall some facts from the theory of hyperbolic groups (cf. [3]). The noncompact closed  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^+)$ -action on  $S^{2n-1}$  is characterized as whether it is either loxodromic ( $= \mathbb{R}^+$ ) or parabolic ( $= \mathcal{R}$ ) for which  $\mathbb{R}^+$  has exactly two fixed points  $\{0, \infty\}$  or  $\mathcal{R}$  has the unique fixed point  $\{\infty\}$  on  $S^{2n-1}$ . Moreover, the centralizer  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{PU}(n, 1)}(\mu(\mathbb{R}^+))$  of  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^+)$  in  $\text{PU}(n, 1)$  is one of the following groups up to conjugacy:

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{R} \times \mathrm{U}(n-1) \text{ or } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathrm{U}(n-1).$$

Since  $\pi_1(M)$  centralizes  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , note that  $Q$  centralizes  $\mathbb{R}^+$  (cf. (2.24)). The holonomy group  $\mu(Q)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{PU}(n,1)}(\mu(\mathbb{R}^+))$ . As  $\mu(Q)$  is a finite subgroup, (4.4) implies that

$$(4.5) \quad \mu(Q) \subset \mathrm{U}(n-1).$$

**4.2. Rigidity of  $(M, g, J)$  under the LCR action of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .** Let  $(\eta_0, J_0)$  be the standard strictly pseudoconvex pseudo-Hermitian structure on  $S^{2n-1}$  (cf. (3.1)). By definition, there exists a positive function  $u$  on  $W$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad \mathrm{dev}^* \eta_0 = u \cdot \eta.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we know that  $A$  is the characteristic  $CR$ -vector field on  $W$  for  $(\eta, J)$ . If  $\{\psi'_t\}$  is the flow generated by  $A$ , then note from (2.13) that  $\{\psi'_t\} \subset \mathrm{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$ . Because  $W$  is compact,  $\mathrm{PSH}(W, \eta, J)$  is compact. As  $\mathrm{PSH}(W, \eta, J) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{CR}(W)$ , the closure of the holonomy image  $\mu(\{\psi'_t\})$  (which is a connected abelian group) lies in the maximal torus  $T^n$  of the maximal compact subgroup  $\mathrm{U}(n)$  in  $\mathrm{PU}(n, 1)$  up to conjugacy. We can describe it as

$$\mu(\psi'_t) = (e^{ia_1 \cdot t}, \dots, e^{ia_n \cdot t}) \quad (\forall t \in \mathbb{R})$$

for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). On the other hand, let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{dev}_*(A)$ . Since  $\mathrm{dev}$  is equivariant,  $\mathrm{dev}(\psi'_t w) = \mu(\psi'_t) \mathrm{dev}(w)$  on  $S^{2n-1} = \{z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 = 1\}$ , we have:

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{A}_z = \frac{d\mu(\psi'_t)}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \left( x_j \frac{d}{dy_j} - y_j \frac{d}{dx_j} \right) \quad (z = \mathrm{dev}(w), \quad z_j = x_j + iy_j).$$

As  $\eta(A) = 1$ , we have

$$(4.8) \quad u(w) = \mathrm{dev}^* \eta_0(A) = \eta_0(\mathcal{A}_z) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \cdot |z_j|^2.$$

Since  $u > 0$  from (4.6), we can assume that

$$(4.9) \quad 0 < a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n.$$

As  $\mathrm{dev}^{-1}$  maps the pseudo-Hermitain structure  $(\eta, J)$  on  $W$  to  $(\mathrm{dev}^{-1*} \eta, J_0)$  on  $S^{2n-1}$ , we put

$$(4.10) \quad \eta_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathrm{dev}^{-1*} \eta.$$

Using (4.8), we obtain:

$$(4.11) \quad \eta_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \cdot |z_j|^2} \cdot \eta_0 \text{ on } S^{2n-1}.$$

When we note that  $\eta_0 = u' \cdot \eta_{\mathcal{A}}$  where  $u' = u \circ \text{dev}^{-1}$ , and  $T(\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}) = \{\frac{d}{dt}, \mathcal{A}\} \oplus \text{Null } \eta_0$ , denote the complex structure  $J_{\mathcal{A}}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$  by

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{d}{dt} &= -\mathcal{A}, \quad J_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A} = \frac{d}{dt} \\ J_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\text{Null } \eta_0} &= J_0. \end{aligned}$$

(Compare §3.) Let  $\text{Pr} : \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1} \rightarrow S^{2n-1}$  be the canonical projection. In view of (3.5), setting

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} &= d(e^t \cdot \text{Pr}^* \eta_{\mathcal{A}}), \quad \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}} = 2e^{-t} \cdot \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}, \\ \tilde{g}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) &= \tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}}(J_{\mathcal{A}}X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain a l.c.K. structure  $(\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}, J_{\mathcal{A}})$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$  endowed with the group  $\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_{\mathcal{A}}, J_0)$  of holomorphic homothetic transformations.

**Proposition 4.1.** *There exists an equivariant holomorphic isometry between  $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}), \tilde{M}, \Omega, J)$  and  $(\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_{\mathcal{A}}, J_0), \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}, \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}, J_{\mathcal{A}})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$  be a diffeomorphism defined by  $G(\varphi_t w) = (t, \text{dev}(w))$ . Note that  $\text{Pr} \circ G = \text{dev} \circ \pi$  on  $\tilde{M}$ . As every element of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R})$  is described as  $\varphi_s \cdot q(\alpha)$  from Remark 2.1, define a homomorphism  $\Psi : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_{\mathcal{A}}, J_0)$  by setting

$$\Psi(\varphi_s \cdot q(\alpha)) = (s, \mu(\alpha)).$$

Recall that the action  $q(\alpha)(\varphi_t w) = \varphi_t \alpha w$  from (2.21). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} G(\varphi_s \cdot q(\alpha)(\varphi_t w)) &= G(\varphi_{s+t} \cdot \alpha w) = (s+t, \text{dev}(\alpha w)) \\ &= (s+t, \mu(\alpha) \text{dev}(w)) = (s, \mu(\alpha))(t, \text{dev}(w)) = \Psi(\varphi_s \cdot q(\alpha))G(\varphi_t w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $(\Psi, G) : (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{R}), \tilde{M}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_{\mathcal{A}}, J_0), \mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1})$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic. Next, since  $G^*t = t$  for the  $t$ -coordinate of  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$  and  $\text{dev}^* \eta_{\mathcal{A}} = \eta$  from (4.10), it follows that:

$$(4.14) \quad G^* \Omega_{\mathcal{A}} = G^* d(e^t \cdot \text{Pr}^* \eta_{\mathcal{A}}) = d(e^{G^*t} \cdot G^* \text{Pr}^* \eta_{\mathcal{A}}) = d(e^t \cdot \pi^* \eta) = \Omega.$$

By definition,  $G_* \xi = \frac{d}{dt}$ . Moreover, when  $x = \varphi_s w$ ,

$$G(\psi_t(x)) = G(\varphi_s \psi_t w) = G(\varphi_s i \psi'_t w) = (s, \text{dev}(\psi'_t w)) = (s, \mu(\psi'_t) \text{dev}(w)).$$

Using (2.7) and (4.7),

$$G_*(-J\xi_x) = \frac{dG\psi_t}{dt}(x)|_{t=0} = \mathcal{A}_{Gx} = -J_{\mathcal{A}}(\frac{d}{dt})_{Gx}.$$

Thus  $G_*(J\xi) = J_{\mathcal{A}}G_*\xi$ . As  $G^*\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} = \Omega$  from (4.14),  $G$  maps  $\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$  onto  $\{\frac{d}{dt}, \mathcal{A}\}^\perp$ . Consider the commutative diagram:

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp, J) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & (\text{Null } \eta, J) \\ \downarrow G_* & & \downarrow \text{dev}_* \\ (\{\frac{d}{dt}, \mathcal{A}\}^\perp, J_{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}_*} & (\text{Null } \eta_0, J_0). \end{array}$$

Here note that  $J_{\mathcal{A}} = J_0$  on  $\text{Null } \eta_{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Null } \eta_0$ . For  $X \in \{\xi, J\xi\}^\perp$ ,

$$\text{Pr}_*G_*J(X) = \text{dev}_*(J\pi_*X) = J_0\text{dev}_*\pi_*(X) = J_{\mathcal{A}}\text{Pr}_*G_*(X) = \text{Pr}_*J_{\mathcal{A}}G_*(X),$$

thus,  $G_*J(X) = J_{\mathcal{A}}G_*(X)$ . Hence,  $G$  is  $(J, J_{\mathcal{A}})$ -biholomorphic. Moreover, as  $G^*\tilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{A}} = G^*(2e^{-t}\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}) = 2e^{-t}\Omega = \bar{\Theta}$  and  $\bar{g}(X, Y) = \bar{\Theta}(JX, Y)$ , we obtain that  $G^*\tilde{g}_{\mathcal{A}} = \bar{g}$ . Therefore,  $(\Psi, G)$  induces a holomorphic isometry from  $(M, \hat{g}, J)$  onto  $(\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Psi(\pi_1(M)), \hat{g}_{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{J}_{\mathcal{A}})$ . □

**4.3. The Hopf manifold  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Psi(\pi_1(M))$ .** We prove that  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Psi(\pi_1(M))$  is a primary Hopf manifold  $M_\Lambda$  for some  $\Lambda$  obtained in §3. Each element of  $\pi_1(M)$  is of the form  $\gamma = \varphi_s \cdot q(\alpha)$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $\nu(\gamma) = \alpha \in Q = \nu(\pi_1(M))$ . By definition of  $\Psi$ ,  $\Psi(\gamma) = (s, \mu(\alpha))$ . We show that  $\Psi(\pi_1(M))$  has no torsion element. For this, if  $\Psi(\gamma)$  is of finite order (say,  $\ell$ ), then  $1 = (0, 1) = \Psi(\gamma^\ell) = (\ell s, \mu(\alpha^\ell))$ . Then,  $s = 0$  so that  $\Psi(\gamma) = (0, \mu(\alpha))$ . On the other hand, recall from (4.5) that  $\mu(Q) \subset U(n-1)$  up to conjugacy, and so  $\mu(Q)$  has a fixed point  $w_0 \in S^{2n-1}$ . Since  $\Psi(\pi_1(M))$  acts freely on  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}$ , while  $\Psi(\gamma)(t, w_0) = (t, \mu(\alpha)w_0) = (t, w_0)$ , it follows that  $\Psi(\gamma) = 1$ . Moreover, if  $\gamma_1 = \varphi_{s_1} \cdot q(\alpha_1)$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \varphi_{s_2} \cdot q(\alpha_2)$ , then  $\Psi([\gamma_1, \gamma_2]) = (0, \mu([\alpha_1, \alpha_2]))$ . By the same reason,  $\Psi([\pi_1(M), \pi_1(M)]) = \{1\}$ . Hence,  $\pi_1(M)$  is a finitely generated torsion-free abelian group. If we recall from (2.24) that  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\nu} Q \rightarrow 1$  is the central group extension where  $Q$  is finite, then  $\pi_1(M)$  itself is an infinite cyclic group. Since  $\Psi(\pi_1(M)) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_{\mathcal{A}}, J_0)$  and the projection maps  $\Psi(\pi_1(M))$  onto  $\mu(Q)$  in  $\text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_{\mathcal{A}}, J_0)$ ,  $\mu(Q)$  is a finite cyclic group. As  $\text{PSH}(S^{2n-1}, \eta_{\mathcal{A}}, J_0)$  has the maximal torus  $T^n$  (cf. (3.4)), we obtain that  $\Psi(\pi_1(M)) \subset \mathbb{R} \times T^n$  up to conjugacy. A generator of  $\Psi(\pi_1(M))$  is described as  $(s, (c_1, \dots, c_n)) \in \mathbb{R} \times T^n$ . Noting (4.9), let  $\lambda_j = e^{-a_j s} c_j$  and  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . By Theorem 3.1 and the remark below,  $\mathbb{R} \times S^{2n-1}/\Psi(\pi_1(M))$  is a primary Hopf manifold  $M_\Lambda$  of type  $\Lambda$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem C in the Introduction.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F.A. Belgun, *On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces*, Math. Ann., **317** (2000), 1-40.
- [2] D.E. Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, L.N.M. **509**, Springer Verlag 1976.
- [3] S.S. Chern and L. Greenberg, *Hyperbolic Spaces*, in *Contribution to Analysis* (A Collection of papers Dedicated to Lipman Bers, eds. L. Ahlfors and others), Academic Press, New York and London, 49-87, 1974.

- [4] P. Conner and F. Raymond, *Injective operation of the toral groups*, Topology, **10** (1971), 283-296.
- [5] S. Dragomir and L. Ornea, *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*, Progress in Math. **155**, Birkhäuser 1998.
- [6] P. Gauduchon and L. Ornea, *Locally conformally Kähler metrics on Hopf surfaces*, Annales de l'Inst. Fourier, **48** (1998), 1107-1127.
- [7] W. Goldman, *Complex hyperbolic geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, 1999.
- [8] Y. Kamishima, *Geometric flows on compact manifolds and global rigidity*, Topology, **35** (1996), 439-450.
- [9] Y. Kamishima, *Holomorphic torus actions on compact locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Compositio Math., **124** (2000), 341-349.
- [10] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation groups in differential geometry*, Ergebnisse der Math. **70**, Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [11] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry II*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1969.
- [12] R. Kulkarni, *On the principle of uniformization*, J. Diff. Geom., **13** (1978), 109-138.
- [13] J. Lelong-Ferrand, *Transformations conformes et quasi conformes des variétés riemanniennes compactes*, Acad. Roy. Belgique Sci. Mem. Coll., **8** (1971), 1-44.
- [14] M. Obata, *The conjectures on conformal transformations of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom., **6** (1971), 247-258.
- [15] M. S. Raghunathan, *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [16] F. Tricerri, *Some examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politecn. Torino **40** (1982), 81-92.
- [17] I. Vaisman, *Locally conformal Kähler manifolds with parallel Lee form*, Rend. Mat. **12** (1979), 263-284.
- [18] I. Vaisman, *Generalized Hopf manifolds*, Geometriae Dedicata, **13**(1982), 231-255.
- [19] S. M. Webster, *On the transformation group of a real hypersurface*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **231** (1977), 179-190.
- [20] S. M. Webster, *Pseudohermitian geometry of a real hypersurface*, J. Diff. Geom., **13** (1978), 25-41.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY,  
 MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* kami@comp.metro-u.ac.jp

UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS  
 14 ACADEMIEI STR., 70109 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* lornea@imar.ro